CORPORATE AND ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE SCRUTINY TOPIC ASSESSMENT - SELECTION CRITERIA

1.0 Topic Selection Criteria

The topic should meet at least one of the following:

- Improvements for local people likely.
- Community/Corporate priority area.
- Key issue for the public.
- Poor performing service.
- High level of dissatisfaction.

2.0 Topic Rejection Criteria

The topic should not meet any of the following:

- Already being addressed.
- Matter subjudice or prejudicial to Council's interests.
- Unlikely to result in improvements for local people.

3.0 Scoring

Each topic is scored for Importance and Impact on a scale of 1-4 using a scoring guide. (Scoring Topic Assessment Template attached for information).

Importance – how well a topic fits with the Council's key aims and priorities.

Impact – likely potential impact of outcomes from a scrutiny investigation of the topic in terms of community benefit.

4.0 Scoring Guide

Importance

- 1 Some evidence that the topic is linked to the Council's key aims and priorities but only indirectly.
- 2 Good evidence linking topic to Council's aims but not to Council's current priorities.
- **3** Good evidence linking topic to Council's key aims and priorities.
- 4 Strong evidence linking topic to Council's key aims and priorities.

Impact

- 1 Minor potential benefits or benefits affecting only one ward/customer/client group
- 2 Minor potential benefits affecting two or more wards/customer/client groups or, moderate potential benefits affecting only one ward/customer/client group.
- 3 Moderate potential benefits affecting more than one ward/customer/client group, or Substantial potential benefits affecting one or more ward/customer/client group.
- 4 Substantial potential benefits community wide or for a significant proportion or section of the community.

Appendix

1 Scrutiny Topic Assessment Template



SCRUTINY TOPIC ASSESSMENT TEMPLATE

SCRUTINY TOPIC SELECTION ASSESSMENT

| TOPIC: | |
|---------------|-------|
| SUGGESTED BY: | DATE: |

| STEP 1: REJECTION CRITERIA MUST NOT MEET ANY OF THESE: | | | STEP 2: SELECTION CRITERIA MUST MEET ONE OF THESE: | | | |
|--|--|----------|--|--|--------|--|
| | Already being a | ddressed | | Improvements for local people likely | | |
| | Matter subjudice or prejudicial to Council's interests | | | Community Strategy/Corporate priority area | | |
| | Specific case falling within complaints procedure | | | Key issue for public | | |
| | Individual disciplinary or grievance matter | | | Poor performing service | | |
| | Unlikely to result in improvements for local people | | | High level of dissatisfaction | | |
| Select | | Reject | Select | | Reject | |

| STEP 3: PRIORITISE | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|-----------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------|--------|--|--|
| SCORING GUIDE | | | | | | | | | | |
| IMPORTANCE SCORE INDICATOR | | | | IMPACT SCORE INDICATO | | | TOR | | | |
| Score 0 | | dence that topic is related to the uncil's key aims and priorities. Reject | | | No potential benefits I | ikely to re | esult. | Reject | | |
| 1 | Council's key | evidence that topic linked to the il's key aims and priorities but only indirectly. | | | Minor potential benefits or benefits affecti one ward/customer/client group | | | | | |
| 2 | Good evidence linking topic to Council's key aims but not to Council's current priorities | | | 2 Minor potential benefits affecting two wards/customer/client groups o Moderate potential benefits affectin ward/customer/client group. | | | r, | | | |
| 3 | Good evidence linking topic to Council's key aims and priorities | | | | Moderate potential benefits affecting more than one ward/customer/client group or, Substantial potential benefits affecting one or more ward/customer/client groups | | | | | |
| 4 | Strong evidence linking topic to Council's key aims and priorities | | | | Substantial potential benefits community wide or for a significant proportion or section of the community. | | | | | |
| See attached for Council's key aims and priorities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outcome: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Topic priority guide | | | | | | | | | | |
| Score 1-4 Reject topic | | | | | | | | | | |
| Score 5-6 | | | Possible topic for scrutiny | | | | | | | |
| Score 7-8 | | | | Priority topic for scrutiny | | | | | | |
| Outcome: | | | Ţ | <u>_</u> | Reserve List | | Reje | ct | | |



PRIORITIES

Our Vision

To be a Council which is ambitious for West Lancashire

Our Values

- Enterprising being innovative and creative, delivering lasting improvements;
- Inclusive working in partnership to benefit West Lancashire and being open and involving in the way decisions are made
- Equality promoting equality and reducing inequality
- One Council adopting a co-ordinated approach
- Caring valuing and developing employees

Our priorities

- Ambitious for our Economy
 Retain and grow jobs, increase skill levels and encourage business and wealth.
- Ambitious for our Environment Enhance the built and physical environment and its cleanliness.
- Ambitious for Health and Wellbeing
 Improve the health and wellbeing of local communities.

Delivering our Priorities

West Lancashire Borough Council is ambitious for our businesses, our places and our people. We are committed to important projects that will benefit the borough and everyone who lives and works here.